UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/796,181	03/10/2004	Kenji Tani	1560-0411P	3272
	7590 01/07/200 ART KOLASCH & BI	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 747		SARPONG, AKWASI		
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2625		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/07/2009	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/796,181	TANI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
AKWASI M. SARPONG	2625		

	AKWASI M. SARPONG	2625				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>03 December 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.				
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	od for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.  In do for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In  In however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  In Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO  IN OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount on thortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as			
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed was AMENIAN TO TO.</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
AMENDMENTS	and uniques the data of filling a build	والمستفسم والمسالكين				
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because  (a) ☑ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);  (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for						
appeal; and/or			1000000101			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		cted claims.				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	* **		DTOL 224)			
<ul> <li>4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> <li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ul>		mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	owable if submitted in a separate, t					
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-92. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		be entered and an e	xplanation of			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:			
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
/King Y. Poon/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2625	/A. M. S./ Examiner, Art Unit 2625					

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Claims 1-8 and 63, 64, the addition of the limitation deleting the extracted specific color portion from the received color imae data. "the specific color portion is output to be visible for the requestor, when the authentication is completed and Claims 49-50 and 65-66, the addition of the limitation "the specific color portion is output to be visible, when the destination to which the transmission of the specific color portion of the received color image data is allowed is stored in the destination storing section" requires further search and Consideration .

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: It relies on the newly added claim limitations not being entered and the final rejected cliamed limitation are still meet by the prior art of record.